



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,352	02/27/2002	Hideo Nakamura	524642000500	6107
7590	02/22/2006			
Barry E. Bretschneider Morrison & Foester LLP Suite 500 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006-1888			EXAMINER DANIELS, ANTHONY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/083,352

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA, HIDEO

Examiner

Anthony J. Daniels

Art Unit

2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 December 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/7/2005 has been entered.

### ***Response to Amendment***

2. Applicant has stated in the Remarks Section p. 10, Line 1 that claims 17-20 have been cancelled, but in the claims section, claims 17-20 are presented again. No arguments have been submitted in regard to the rejections of those claims in the previous office action. Therefore, the examiner acknowledges that claims 17-20 have indeed been cancelled. If this is incorrect, the examiner directs applicant to the previous office action where the rejections of those claims can be found.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/7/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., read-out state as defined by applicant on p. 11, Lines 11-13) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although

Art Unit: 2615

the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In regard to applicant's arguments on p. 10, last paragraph, p. 11, examiner acknowledges that applicant wishes to refer to read-out state as a state where, "...where each readout gate between a photosensitive pixel part and a vertical transfer path remains open..." However, applicant has defined the read-out state in a broader sense in claim 1.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1,3,4,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Udagawa et al. (US # 5,880,781) in view of Kobayashi et al. (US # 6,750,911).

Claim 7 will be discussed first.

As to claim 7, Udagawa et al. teaches an image capturing apparatus (Figure 3, still video camera) including a solid-state image pickup device (Figure 3, CCD "3") and a driving circuit (Figure 3, CCD Driver "2") for driving said solid-state image pickup device (Col. 4, Lines 64-67), wherein: said solid-state image pickup device includes a plurality of pixels that are provided with a photoelectric converting means (Figure 1, Col. 4, Lines 30-35) and consist of pixels of a first color (Figure 1, cyan pixels "C") and a second color (Figure 1, magenta pixels "M") arranged in a given pattern (Figure 1); said driving circuit includes: a plurality of first transfer

Art Unit: 2615

paths (Figure 2B, Col. 4, Lines 30-36, "...VCCD.") for reading out and transferring signal charges of said pixels (Figure 2B, Col. 4, Lines 35,36), and a second transfer path (Figure 13E, HCCD; *{It is inherent in the system of Udagawa et al. that the second transfer path be included in the Figures 2A-D. Figure 13E is cited just to show applicant that a second transfer path does exist.}*) for reading out and transferring the signal charges transferred from said first transfer paths (Figure 13G; Col. 5, Lines 36-58); said driving circuit functions to: generate first summed charges (Figure 2D, C+M) by: reading out onto said first transfer paths a plurality of pixels that constitutes all or a part of the pixels of certain colors (Figure 2B, C1 and M1 are read out; Col. 2, Lines 62-67; Col. 3, Lines 1-17), while retaining the signal charges of specific pixels of those read in the previous step mentioned above by maintaining said specific pixels in the read-out state, where the charges are read out from pixels to the first transfer path (Figure 2D, M1 retained in the VCCD; *{The state of M1 is in a state where it has been read out of the pixel and is, when added, in the first transfer path (VCCD).}*), transferring the other signal charges read in said previous step (Figure 2D, C1 is transferred down to be added to M1) and adding the transferred signal charges to the retained signal charges (Figure 2D, C+M); generate second summed charges (C+G) by: reading out to the first transfer paths a plurality of signal charges of the pixels of certain colors (Figure 2B, C3 and G3) in the state where said first summed charges are located apart from where said plurality of signal charges of the pixels of the second color are going to be read (Figure 2D, C+M located apart from C+G), and summing up said signal charges of the pixels of the second certain colors on either one of the first transfer paths or the second transfer path, or both the first transfer paths and the second transfer path (Figure 2D, C+G is added on the first transfer path (VCCD)); and transfer said first summed charges and the second

Art Unit: 2615

summed charges to the second transfer path (Figure 2D, C+M and C+G transferred down to the HCCD); and output the first summed charges and the second summed charges from the second transfer path (Figure 3, *{It is inherent in the system of Udagawa et al. that the added signal charges be transferred out of the HCCD to the S/H A/D block of Figure 3}*). The claim differs from Udagawa et al. in that it requires that the reading out and summing of said certain colors be of a same first color and a same second color.

In the same field of endeavor, Kobayashi et al. teaches a CCD driving method and apparatus for reading out, transferring, and summing of signals of a same first and second color (Figure 6(A), R3 + R4, G3 + G4; Col. 6, Lines 18-35). In light of the teaching of Kobayashi et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the reading out, transferring, and summing of signals of a same first and second color in the system of Udagawa et al., because an artisan of ordinary skill would recognize that reading out and transferring the signals of a same first and second color to be summed would provide a filtering process to be performed within the CCD imager, thereby removing aliasing components. Accordingly, there is no necessity of newly providing a filter circuit to remove noise (see Kobayashi et al., Col. 1, Lines 58-63).

As to claim 1, claim 1 is a method claim corresponding to the apparatus claim 7.

Therefore, claim 1 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claim 7.

As to claim 3, Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., teaches a solid-state image pickup device driving method as claimed in claim 1, wherein: said second summation process is performed with a plurality of charges of pixels of the second color being read out to

Art Unit: 2615

given locations on the second transfer path (see Udagawa et al., Figure 2D, Figure 13F; Kobayashi et al., Figure 6(A), *{It is inherent in the system of Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., that the summed charges  $R3 + R4$ ,  $G3 + G4$  will be transferred to given locations on the second transfer path (HCCD), as shown in the downward direction arrow of Figure 2D in Udagawa et al.}*).

As to claim 4, Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., teaches a solid-state image pickup device driving method as claimed in claim 1, wherein: a charge coupled device (see Figure 1, Figure 2A; Col. 4, Lines 30-33) having charge readout electrodes (Figure 2A, Gates V1-V8) respectively corresponding to the pixels (see Figure 2A, V1, V2 belonging to C1, V3, V4 belonging to M1) is provided for the first transfer paths so that said readout and retention are performed by applying charge readout voltages to said charge readout electrodes (Col. 4, Lines 35-41; *{It is inherent in the system of Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., that voltages are applied to the gates (electrodes).}*).

5. Claims 5,6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Udagawa et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Kobayashi et al. (see Patent Number above) and further in view of Hattori et al. (US # 20050012826).

As to claim 5, Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., teaches a solid-state image pickup device driving method as claimed in 1, wherein the manner of driving the solid-state image pickup device can be switched to: a first driving mode provided to perform said first summation process, said second summation process, and said sum output process (see Udagawa et al., Col. 4, Lines 49-55). The claim differs from Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et

Art Unit: 2615

al., in that it further requires that a second driving mode be provided to read out the charges of the respective pixels individually to the first transfer paths, individually transfer the read charges to the second transfer path, and output said charges from the second transfer path.

In the same field of endeavor, Hattori et al. teaches a driving method and apparatus for a CCD (Abstract) which can be switched between an all-pixel read mode where charges are read individually, and a thinned out read mode ([0134]). In light of the teaching of Hattori et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an all pixel read mode in the system of Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that all-pixel read out schemes allow for the capture of high resolution still images (Hattori et al., [0134], Lines 15-19).

As to claim 6, Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al. and Hattori et al., teaches a solid-state image pickup device driving method as claimed in claim 5; wherein: said first driving mode is the moving image mode for shooting a moving image, and said second driving mode is the still image mode for shooting a still image (see Hattori et al., [0134]).

6. Claims 2,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Udagawa et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Kobayashi et al. (see Patent Number above) and further in view of Yu (US # 6,034,366).

As to claim 2, Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., teaches a solid-state image pickup device driving method as claimed in claim 1. The claim differs from Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., in that it further requires that said first and second



Art Unit: 2615

summation processes are performed with charges that have been read out to the first transfer paths being transferred on the first transfer paths in the forward and reverse direction.

In the same field of endeavor, Yu teaches two horizontal transfer registers for transferring charges up as well as down (Figure 2A). In light of the teaching of Yu, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second horizontal transfer register in the system of Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that such a supplemental register would allow for different color charges to split up if need be (see Yu, Abstract, Lines 10-13) and an increase in the speed of the CCD readout.

As to claim 8, Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., teaches an image capturing apparatus as claimed in claim 7. The claim differs from Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., in that it requires that the image capturing apparatus is provided with a processing means that is capable of reversing the order of the first summed charges and the second summed charges output from the solid-state image pickup device.

In the same field of endeavor, Yu teaches two horizontal transfer registers for transferring charges up as well as down (Figure 2A). In light of the teaching of Yu, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second horizontal transfer register in the system of Udagawa et al., as modified by Kobayashi et al., because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that such a supplemental register would allow for different color charges to split up if need be (see Yu, Abstract, Lines 10-13) and an increase in the speed of the CCD readout.

Art Unit: 2615

7. Claims 11,9,10,12,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Udagawa et al. (see Patent Number above).

As to claim 11, Kobayashi et al. teaches an image capturing apparatus (Figure 1, digital camera "10") including: an image pickup device (Figure 1, CCD imager "20") having a plurality of pixels that are provided with a photoelectric converting means (Figure 2, Col. 4, Lines 22-26) and arranged in a given pattern (Figure 2), a control means for controlling said image pickup device (TG "22"), and an image processing means to which signal charges output from said image pickup device are input (Figure 1, A/D Converter; Col. 4, Lines 56-58), wherein: said control means is capable of switching modes during preliminary measurements (Col. 8, Lines 11-52) between: an omission readout mode (Figure 5(A) and Figure 5(B); Col. 5, Lines 66,67), which calls for reading out the signal charges of a part of said image pickup device (Figure 5(A) and Figure 5(B); Col. 5, Lines 66,67; Col. 6, Lines 1-4) and outputting the read-out signal charges to said image processing means (Figure 1, A/D Converter; Col. 4, Lines 56-58), a summation readout mode (Figure 6(A) and Figure 6(B), Col. 6, Lines 18,19), which calls for reading out the signal charges of the pixels of said image pickup device (Figure 6(A) and Figure 6(B); Col. 6, lines 18-20), summing up the signal charges of a plurality of pixels of the respective same colors (Figure 6(A) and Figure 6(B); Col. 6, Lines 18-30), and outputting the summed-up signal charges to said image processing means (Figure 1, A/D Converter; Col. 4, Lines 56-58); said switching is performed based on the results of preliminary measurement (Col. 8, Lines 21-52); and further preliminary measurement (Figure 1, weighting table "34"; Col. 4, Lines 62-67; Col. 5, Lines 1-5; *The measurement in this operation is determining the*

*weighting amount dependent upon the Y-data. In other words, the Y-data is weighted according to its value. The value must be known to weight it properly. This occurs before (preliminary) the integration process "36". Applicant has not defined in the claims to what the measurement is preliminary.}*) is performed in the mode to which readout mode has been switched (*This occurs in any readout mode.*). The claim differs from Kobayashi et al. in that it further requires the control means to switch to a mixed-color summation readout mode, which calls for summing up the signal charges of a plurality of pixels of different colors, and outputting the summed charges to an image processing means.

In the same field of endeavor, Udagawa et al. teaches a mode of operation selected when a preliminary measurement is taking place (Col. 4, Lines 49-55) which is a mixed-color summation read out mode, which calls for summing up signal charges of a plurality of different colors (Figure 2D, C+M, C+G), and outputting the summed charges to an image processing means (Figure 3, S/H A/D "4"). In light of the teaching of Udagawa et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a mixed-color summation mode in the system of Kobayashi et al., because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that mixed-color summations allow for the number of carriers read out to be halved and a chrominance signal can be attained (see Udagawa et al., Col. 2, Lines 8-29) in a high sensitivity color filter (see Udagawa et al., Col. 1, Lines 11-23).

As to claim 9, Kobayashi et al., as modified by Udagawa et al., teaches an image capturing apparatus as claimed in claim 11, wherein said control means is capable of switching in the course of shooting moving images (Col. 8, Lines 11-49; Col. 9, Lines 30-32) between: an omission readout mode (Figure 5(A) and Figure 5(B); Col. 5, Lines 66,67, "...thinning out

Art Unit: 2615

reading scheme...”), which calls for reading out the signal charges of a part of said image pickup device (Figure 5(A) and Figure 5B; Col. 5, Lines 66,67, Col. 6, Lines 1-17) and outputting the read-out signal charges to said image processing means (Figure 1, A/D Converter; Col. 4, Lines 56-58), and a summation readout mode (Figure 6(A) and Figure 6(B), Col. 6, Lines 18,19, “...pixel-mixing reading scheme...”), which calls for reading out the signal charges of the pixels of said image pickup device (Figure 6(A), Col. 6, Lines 18-20), summing up the signal charges of a plurality of pixels each (Figure 6(A), Col. 6, Lines 18-30), and outputting the summed-up signal charges to said image processing means (Figure 1, A/D Converter; Col. 4, Lines 56-58).

As to claim 10, Kobayashi et al., as modified by Udagawa et al., teaches an image capturing apparatus as claimed in claim 11, wherein: the image pickup device is a CCD solid-state image pickup device (Figure 1, CCD imager “20”) having a plurality of pixels of a plurality of colors arranged in a given pattern (Figure 2), and the summation readout mode calls for summation of signal charges of a plurality of pixels of the respective same colors (Figure 6(A) and Figure 6(B),  $R3 + R4$ ,  $G3 + G4$ , etc.).

As to claims 12, Kobayashi et al., as modified by Udagawa et al., teaches an image capturing apparatus as claimed in claim 11, wherein: the control means is adapted to switch the driving mode to drive the image pickup device between the summation readout mode and the omission readout mode in accordance with the light level of the shooting conditions (see Kobayashi et al., Col. 8, Lines 11-52).

As to claim 16, Kobayashi et al., as modified by Udagawa et al., teaches an image capturing apparatus as claimed in claim 11, wherein: the control means is adapted to switch the

Art Unit: 2615

driving mode (see Kobayashi et al., Col. 8, Lines 11-52) between: an omission readout mode (see Kobayashi et al., Col. 8, Lines 47-49, "...thinning-out mode..."), which calls for reading out the signal charges of a part of said image pickup device (see Kobayashi et al., Figure 5(A) and Figure 5(B)) and outputting the read-out signal charges to said image processing means (see Kobayashi et al., Figure 1, A/D Converter; Col. 4, Lines 56-58), a summation readout mode (see Kobayashi et al., Col. 8, Lines 50-52), which calls for reading out the signal charges of the pixels of said image pickup device (see Kobayashi et al., Figure 6(A) and Figure 6(B)), summing up the signal charges of a plurality of pixels (see Kobayashi et al., Figure 6(A) and Figure 6(B)) and outputting the summed-up signal charges to said image processing means (see Kobayashi et al., Figure 1, A/D Converter; Col. 4, Lines 56-58), and a full-pixel individual readout mode which calls for individually reading out and using the signal charges of nearly all the pixels of said image pickup device (see Kobayashi et al., Col. 5, Lines 57-65, "...all pixel reading,...without being mixed with each other...").

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Udagawa et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Yamada et al. (US # 5,995,137) and further in view of Misawa (US 20010048477).

As to claim 13, Kobayashi et al. teaches an image capturing apparatus as claimed in claim 11. The claim differs from Kobayashi et al. in that it requires the control means to detect a possibility of generation of moire (false color) and drive the image pickup device in the summation readout mode when there is the possibility of generation of moiré (false color), and in the omission readout mode in the other situations. *Applicant is asked to reference US*

Art Unit: 2615

*20040227845 if there is any discrepancy about moiré being interpreted as false color. In [0005], Kawai teaches moiré as being an example of false color. Priority does not exist over applicant's invention, but there is no need, because examiner is merely showing evidence of fact of phenomena existing in the nature of CCD devices.*

In the same field of endeavor, Yamada et al. teaches a moiré detecting section (Figure 1, "10"; Col. 4, Lines 33-35). In light of the teaching of Yamada et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a moire detecting section in the control means of Kobayashi et al., because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a moiré detecting section would allow the system to detect an image deteriorating occurrence.

In the same field of endeavor, Misawa teaches the use of summing signals to create complementary color signals and to ultimately prevent false colors ([0048]). In light of the teaching of Misawa, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to operate the system of Kobayashi et al., as modified by Yamada et al., in a summation mode if moire (false colors) is recognized, because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a summation process would effectively prevent false colors (see Misawa, [0048]).

9. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Udagawa et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Yoshida (US # 6,809,763) and further in view of Watanabe (US # 5,420,629).

As to claim 14, Kobayashi et al. teaches an image capturing apparatus as claimed in claim 9 and a control means (Figure 1, microcomputer "20"). The claim differs from Kobayashi

Art Unit: 2615

et al. in that it further requires the control means detects a possibility of generation of smear and drives the image pickup device in the omission readout mode when there is the possibility of generation of smear, and in the summation readout mode in the other situations.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshida teaches a smear detecting unit (Figure 1, smear level detecting section "8-1"). In light of the teaching of Yoshida, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to include a smear detecting section in the control means of Kobayashi et al., because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a smear level detecting section would allow the system to detect and act on an image deteriorating occurrence.

In the same field of endeavor, Watanabe teaches the use of interline transfer, because of smear (Col. 2, Lines 59-62). In light of the teaching of Watanabe, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include using an interline transfer of signals upon recognition of smear as taught by Kobayashi et al., as modified by Yoshida, because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that smear can be avoided by reading out charges in an interline type (see Watanabe, Col. 2, Lines 59-62).

10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Udagawa et al. (see Patent Number above) in view of Tanaka et al. (US # 6,559,889).

As to claim 15, Kobayashi et al. teaches an image capturing apparatus as claimed in claim 9, and driving the CCD in summation mode (see Kobayashi et al., Figure 6(A) and Figure 6(B)). The claim differs from Kobayashi et al. in that it further requires that the image capturing

Art Unit: 2615

apparatus include a saturation preventing means controlled by the control means to prevent saturation of signals in the image pickup device.

In the same field of endeavor, Tanaka et al. teaches varying the potential of an overflow barrier to increase a saturation amount in photo detectors (Col. 7, Lines 52-58). In light of the teaching of Tanaka et al., it would have been obvious to include this saturation prevention means in the controls means of Kobayashi et al. when the read out mode is the summation mode, because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that characteristics such as S/N ratio and dynamic range can be prevented from being deteriorated due to the reduction of the saturation signal charge amount (see Tanaka et al., Col. 7, Lines 60-62).

### ***Conclusion***

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Daniels whose telephone number is (571) 272-7362. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc-Yen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AD  
2/17/2006

  
NGOC-YEN VU  
PRIMARY EXAMINER